

Central
Bedfordshire
Council
Priory House
Monks Walk
Chicksands,
Shefford SG17 5TQ



**TO EACH MEMBER OF THE
CORPORATE RESOURCES OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**

25 January 2016

Dear Councillor

**CORPORATE RESOURCES OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - Tuesday 2
February 2016**

Further to the Agenda and papers for the above meeting, previously circulated, please find attached the following additional report(s):-

11. Treasury Management Strategy and Treasury Policy

To receive a report on the Treasury Management Strategy.

Should you have any queries regarding the above please contact Rebecca Preen on Tel:
0300 300 4193

Yours sincerely

Rebecca Preen
Scrutiny Policy Advisor
email: rebecca.preen@centralbedfordshire.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix B - Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) 2016/17

Introduction

In a Council meeting on the 29th November 2012 the Council adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice (2011 Edition)* (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Council to approve a treasury management strategy before the start of each financial year.

In addition, the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG) issued revised *Guidance on Local Authority Investments* in March 2010 that requires the Council to approve an investment strategy before the start of each financial year.

This report fulfils the Council's legal obligation under the *Local Government Act 2003* to have regard to both the CIPFA Code and the CLG Guidance.

The Council has borrowed and invested substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk are therefore central to the Council's treasury management strategy.

External Context

Economic background: Domestic demand has grown robustly, supported by sustained real income growth and a gradual decline in private sector savings. Low oil and commodity prices were a notable feature of 2015, and contributed to an annual CPI inflation of 0.2% in December. Wages are growing at 2.0% a year, and the unemployment rate has dropped to 5.1%. Mortgage approvals have risen to over 70,000 a month and annual house price growth is around 3.5%. These factors have boosted consumer confidence, helping to underpin retail spending and hence GDP growth, which was an encouraging 2.1% a year in the third quarter of 2015.

The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) meets on a monthly basis to set the Bank of England's Base Rate, which is used to control the level of inflation. The MPC aims for a target Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation rate of 2.0%, within a range of plus or minus 1.0%, i.e., between 1.0% and 3.0%. Although speeches by MPC members sent signals that some were willing to countenance higher interest rates, the MPC held policy rates at 0.5% for the 82nd consecutive month at its meeting in December 2015. Quantitative easing (QE) has been maintained at £375bn since July 2012.

Credit outlook: The varying fortunes of different parts of the global economy are reflected in market indicators of credit risk. UK banks operating in the Far East and parts of mainland Europe have seen their perceived risk increase, while those with a more domestic focus continue to show improvement. The sale of most of the Government's stake in Lloyds and the first sale of its shares in RBS have generally been seen as credit positive.

Bail-in legislation, which ensures that large investors including local authorities will rescue failing banks instead of taxpayers in the future, has now been fully implemented in the UK, USA and Germany. The rest of the European Union will follow suit in January 2016, while Australia, Canada and Switzerland are well advanced with their own plans. Meanwhile, changes to the UK Financial Services Compensation Scheme and similar European schemes in July 2015 mean that most private sector investors are now partially or fully exempt from contributing to a bail-in. The credit risk associated with making unsecured bank deposits has therefore increased relative to the risk of other investment options available to the Council; returns from cash deposits however remain stubbornly low.

Interest rate forecast: The Council's treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd, projects the first 0.25% increase in official interest rates in the third quarter of 2016, rising by 0.5% a year thereafter, finally settling between 2% and 3% in several years' time. Persistently low inflation, subdued global growth and potential concerns over the UK's position in Europe mean that the risks to this forecast are weighted towards the downside.

A shallow upward path for medium term gilt yields is forecast, as continuing concerns about the Eurozone, emerging markets and other geo-political events weigh on risk appetite, while inflation expectations remain subdued. Arlingclose projects the 10 year gilt yield to rise from its current 2.0% level by around 0.3% a year. The uncertainties surrounding the timing of UK and US interest rate rises are likely to prompt short-term volatility in gilt yields.

A more detailed economic and interest rate forecast provided by Arlingclose Ltd is attached at Schedule 1.

For the purpose of setting the 2016/17 budget, it has been assumed that new investments will be made at an average rate of 0.5%, and that new long-term loans will be borrowed on an average variable interest rate of 0.8% based on the forecast prevailing Base Rate plus a prudent allowance for uncertainty and brokerage fees.

Local Context

At 30th November 2015, the Council held £297.5m of borrowing and £14.8m of investments. This is set out in further detail at *Schedule 2*. Forecast changes in these sums are shown in the balance sheet analysis in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Balance Sheet Summary and Forecast

	31/3/15 Actual £m	31/3/16 Estimate £m	31/3/17 Estimate £m	31/3/18 Estimate £m	31/3/19 Estimate £m
General Fund CFR	282.6	324.8	355.9	370.1	385.9
HRA CFR	164.3	165.0	165.0	163.5	162.0
Total CFR	446.9	489.8	520.9	533.6	547.9
Less: Other long-term liabilities *	(16.3)	(15.7)	(15.3)	(14.6)	(13.6)
Borrowing CFR	430.6	474.1	505.6	519.0	534.3
Less: External borrowing **	312.6	282.2	275.6	275.6	275.6
Internal borrowing	118.0	191.9	230.0	243.4	258.7
Less: Usable reserves	96.0	93.0	85.2	85.4	77.1
Less: Working capital	25.5	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Investments / (new borrowing) ***	3.5	(73.9)	(119.8)	(133.0)	(156.6)

* PFI liabilities that form part of the Council's debt

** shows only loans to which the Council is committed and excludes optional refinancing

*** Forecast borrowing is based on the full Capital Programme being achieved.

The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), while usable reserves are the underlying resources available for investment. However, usable reserves include schools balances, those specific to the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and other earmarked reserves. The usable General Fund reserves balance as at 31st March 2015 was £15.2m.

The Council has an increasing CFR due to the Capital Programme and continues to adhere to its long-standing strategy of holding low cash balances to reduce investment counterparty risk and contain its borrowing costs by utilising cash balances in lieu of borrowing externally. The Balance Sheet summary in Table 1 shows that the Council's extent of internal borrowing was £118.0m as at 31 March 2015. The Council uses internal resources in lieu of borrowing to the full extent as this has continued to be the most cost effective means of funding capital expenditure.

CIPFA's *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (2011 Edition)* recommends that the Council's total debt should be lower than its highest forecast CFR over the next three years. Table 1 shows that the Council expects to comply with this recommendation during 2016/17.

Borrowing Strategy

At 30th November 2015, the Council held external borrowing of £297.5m. However, the Balance Sheet forecast in Table 1 shows that it is estimated that net borrowing will increase by £43.5m in 2015/16 (new gross borrowing of £73.9m less £30.4m refinancing of maturing existing borrowing) to finance its Capital Programme.

The primary objective when borrowing money is to strike an appropriate balance between securing low interest costs and achieving cost certainty over the period for which funds are required. The flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Council's long-term plans change is a secondary objective.

Given the significant reductions in public expenditure and in particular local government funding, the borrowing strategy continues to address the key issue of affordability without compromising the longer term stability of the debt portfolio. With short-term interest rates currently much lower than long-term rates, it is likely to be more cost effective in the short-term to either use internal resources, or to borrow short-term fixed rate loans instead.

By doing so, the Council is able to reduce net borrowing costs (despite foregone investment income) and reduce overall treasury risk. The benefits of internal borrowing and short-term fixed rate loan finance will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise. Arlingclose Ltd will assist with this 'cost of carry' calculation and breakeven analysis. The output may determine whether the Council considers borrowing additional sums at long-term fixed rates in 2016/17 with a view to keeping future interest costs low, even if this causes additional cost in the short-term.

In addition, the Council may take out short-term fixed rate loans (normally for up to one to six months) to cover unexpected cash flow shortages.

The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- the PWLB
- UK local authorities, police and fire authorities
- any institution approved for investments (see below)
- any other bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- UK public and private sector pension funds
- special purpose companies created to enable joint local authority bond issues
- capital market bond investors.

The Council and its predecessors raised the majority of the long-term borrowing from the PWLB. The Council plans to maintain minimum cash levels for operational purposes and source its borrowing needs from other UK local authorities, police and fire authorities on a short-term fixed rate rolling basis at around the prevailing Base Rate in order to achieve significant revenue cost savings in the short term, over the more traditional route of borrowing long term from the PWLB.

The revenue implications of the Capital Programme over 2016/17 to 2019/20 have been calculated on the assumption that most new borrowing will be taken on a short-term fixed rate basis taking advantage of current low levels of interest rates. This borrowing strategy assumes that interest rates will continue to remain low for longer than previously envisaged, in line with advice from Arlingclose Ltd who forecast the first rise in official interest rates in September 2016 and a series of gradual increases thereafter, with the average Base Rate for 2016/17 being around 0.6%. The average forecast Base Rates for 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 are 1.1%, 1.6% and 1.9% respectively.

There is a risk that interest rates may increase or be higher than current rates when it comes to refinancing debt taken out on a short term basis. This would lead to higher revenue implications arising from the draft capital programme over the longer term, beyond the current Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) period. However, interest rate risk is preferable to credit risk which is minimised through the use of short-term fixed rate borrowing to enable the Council to maintain minimum operational cash balances.

The Council holds £13.5m of LOBO (Lender's Option Borrower's Option) loans where the lender has the option to propose an increase in the interest rate as set dates, following which the Council has the option to either accept the new rate or to repay the loan at no additional cost. All of these LOBOS have options during 2016/17, and although the Council understands that lenders are unlikely to exercise their options in the current low interest rate environment, there remains an element of refinancing risk. The Council will take the option to repay LOBO loans at low cost if it has the opportunity to do so.

Short-term fixed rate and variable rate loans leave the Council exposed to the risk of short-term interest rate rises and are therefore subject to the limits on the exposure to variable interest rates in the treasury management indicators below.

Debt Rescheduling: The PWLB allows authorities to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. Some bank lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Council may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, where this is expected to lead to an overall saving or reduction in risk.

Investment Strategy

At 30th November 2015, the Council held £9.7m of invested funds, (excludes an external investment in the Aviva Investors’ Lime Property Fund Unit Trust valued at £5.1m as at 30th September 2015) representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. Over the past 12 months, the investment balance which is determined by reference to the Council’s day-to-day cash flow requirements has ranged between £4m and £42m. The Council plans to maintain minimum cash levels for operational purposes in the forthcoming year.

Both the CIPFA Code and the CLG Guidance require the Council to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Council’s objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitably low investment income.

Given the increasing risk and continued low returns from short-term unsecured bank deposits, the Council aims to effectively manage this risk by maintaining minimum cash levels for operational purposes and diversifying investments between several counterparties to mitigate the impact of any bail-in of unsecured investments. Local authority investments in bank call/notice accounts, deposits and Money Market Funds (MMFs) are unsecured investments.

The Council may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparties in Table 2 below:

Table 2: Approved Investment Counterparties

Counterparty	
Banks and other organisations and securities whose lowest published long-term credit rating from Fitch, Moody’s and Standard & Poor’s is:	AAA
	AA+
	AA
	AA-
	A+
	A
	A-
	BBB+
UK Central Government (irrespective of credit rating)	
UK Local Authorities, Police and Fire Authorities (irrespective of credit rating)	
Money market funds and other pooled funds	

In addition, the Council may invest with organisations and pooled funds without credit ratings, following an external credit assessment and advice from the treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd.

Current Account Bank: The Council's current accounts are held with NatWest which is currently rated at the minimum BBB+ (or Moody's equivalent of Baa1) rating in Table 2. Should the credit ratings fall below BBB+, the Council may continue to deposit surplus operational cash with NatWest providing that the cash can be withdrawn on the next working day, and that the bank maintains a credit rating no lower than BBB- (or Moody's equivalent of Baa3) which is the lowest investment grade rating.

Registered Providers: Formerly known as Housing Associations, Registered Providers of Social Housing are tightly regulated by the Homes and Communities Agency and retain a high likelihood of receiving Government support if needed. The Council will consider investing with unrated Registered Providers with adequate credit safeguards, subject to receiving independent advice.

Money Market Funds: These funds are pooled investment vehicles consisting of money market deposits and similar instruments. They have the advantage of providing wide diversification of investment risks, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager. Fees of between 0.10% and 0.20% per annum are deducted from the interest paid to the Council. Funds that offer same-day liquidity and aim for a constant net asset value will be used as an alternative to instant access bank accounts.

Other Pooled Funds: These allow the Council to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. They offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are potentially more volatile in the shorter term, and their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Council's investment objectives need be monitored regularly. The Council has one pooled fund, investing in property, inherited from one of the legacy councils (The Lime Fund). This investment is monitored regularly with our treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd, and continues to meet the Council's investment objectives. There are no plans currently to invest further in pooled funds.

Other Organisations: The Council may also invest cash with other organisations, for example by making loans to small businesses. Because of the higher perceived risk of unrated businesses, such investments may provide considerably higher rates of return. They will however only be made following a favourable external credit assessment and on the specific advice of the Council's treasury management adviser, Arlingclose Ltd.

Risk Assessment and Credit Ratings: The Council uses long-term credit ratings from the three main rating agencies Fitch Ratings, Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's Financial Services to assess the risk of investment default. The lowest available counterparty credit rating will be used to determine credit quality, unless an investment-specific rating is available. Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Council's treasury adviser, Arlingclose Ltd, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- no new investments will be made; and
- consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty.

Where a credit rating agency announces that a BBB+ rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as "rating watch negative" or "credit watch negative") so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

Other Information on the Security of Investments: The Council understands that credit ratings are good, but not perfect, predictors of investment default. Full regard will therefore be given to other available information on the credit quality of the organisations in which it invests, including credit default swap prices, financial statements, information on potential government support and reports in the quality financial press. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may meet the credit rating criteria.

When deteriorating financial market conditions affect the creditworthiness of all organisations, as happened in 2008 and 2011, this is not generally reflected in credit ratings, but can be seen in other market measures. In these circumstances, the Council will restrict its investments to those organisations of higher credit quality and reduce the maximum duration of its investments to maintain the required level of security. The extent of these restrictions will be in line with prevailing financial market conditions. If these restrictions mean that insufficient commercial organisations of high credit quality are available to invest the Council's cash balances, then the surplus will be deposited with the UK Government, via the Debt Management Office for example, or with other local authorities. This will cause a reduction in the level of investment income earned, but will protect the principal sum invested.

Specified Investments: The CLG Guidance defines specified investments as those:

- denominated in pound sterling
- due to be repaid within 12 months of arrangement
- not defined as capital expenditure by legislation, and
- invested with one of:
 - the UK Government,
 - a UK local authority, parish council or community council, or
 - a body or investment scheme of “high credit quality”.

The Council defines “high credit quality” organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of A- or higher that are domiciled in the UK or a foreign country with a sovereign rating of AA+ or higher.

Non-specified Investments: Any investment not meeting the definition of a specified investment is classed as non-specified. The Council does not intend to make any investments denominated in foreign currencies, nor any that are defined as capital expenditure by legislation, such as company shares. Non-specified investments will therefore be limited to long term investments, i.e., those that are due to mature 12 months or longer from the date of arrangement, and investments with bodies and schemes not meeting the definition of high credit quality. Limits on non-specified investments are shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Non-Specified Investment Limits

	Cash limit
Total long term investments	£10m
Total investments without credit ratings or rated below A-	£30m
Total investments in foreign countries rated below AA+ by individual country	£10m

Investment Limits: The Council’s general revenue reserves available to cover investment losses are forecast to be £15.2m on 31st March 2016. In order that no more than £7m of available reserves will be put at risk in the case of a single default, the maximum that will be lent to any one organisation (other than the UK Government) will be £7m. A group of banks under the same ownership will be treated as a single organisation for limit purposes.

Table 4: Investment Limits

	Cash limit
Any single organisation, except the UK Central Government	£7m each
UK Central Government	unlimited
UK Local Authorities	unlimited
Any group of organisations under the same ownership	£7m per group
Any group of pooled funds under the same management	£10m per manager
Negotiable instruments held in a broker's nominee account	£10m per broker
Foreign countries	£10m per country
Registered Providers	£10m in total
Unsecured investments with Building Societies	£10m in total
Loans to small businesses	£10m in total
Money Market Funds	70% in total

Liquidity management: Cash flow forecasting is used to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. Limits on long term investments are set by reference to the Council's Medium Term Financial Plan and cash flow forecast.

Interest Rate Exposures: This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to interest rate risk. The upper limits on fixed and variable interest rate exposures, expressed as the proportion of net principal borrowed will be:

Table 5: Limits on Fixed and Variable Rate Exposures

	Actual fixed and variable rate borrowing as at 30/11/2015	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
	%	%	%	%	%
Upper limit on fixed rate exposure	78	100	100	100	100
Upper limit for variable rate exposure	22	40	50	50	50

The Council is exposed to risk in terms of its exposure to interest rate movements on its borrowings and investments. Movements in interest rates have a complex impact on the Council. For example, a rise in interest rates would increase the revenue cost of borrowings at variable rates. The Council has a number of strategies for managing interest rate risk and aims to keep a maximum of 50% of its borrowings in variable rate loans from 2016/17.

Maturity Structure of Borrowing: This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing will be:

	Actual Fixed Rate Borrowing as at 30/11/2015 %	Upper Limit %	Lower Limit %
Under 12 months	6	20	0
12 months - 24 months	0	20	0
24 months - 5 years	0	60	0
5 years - 10 years	13	100	0
10 years - 20 years	54	100	0
20 years - 30 years	0	100	0
30 years - 40 years	20	100	0
40 years - 50 years	7	100	0
50 years and above	0	100	0

Time periods start on the first day of each financial year. The maturity date of borrowing is the earliest date on which the lender can demand repayment, e.g., LOBO option dates (on which the lender can require payment) are treated as potential repayment dates.

Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 364 days: The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits on the total principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end will be:

	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
Limit on principal invested beyond year end	£10m	£10m	£10m

Other Items

There are a number of additional items that the Council is obliged by CIPFA and CLG to include in its Treasury Management Strategy Statement.

Policy on Use of Financial Derivatives: Local authorities have previously made use of financial derivatives embedded into loans and investments both to reduce interest rate risk (e.g., interest rate collars and forward deals) and to reduce costs or increase income at the expense of greater risk (e.g., LOBO loans and callable deposits). The general power of competence in Section 1 of the *Localism Act 2011* removes much of the uncertainty over local authorities' use of standalone financial derivatives (i.e., those that are not embedded into a loan or investment).

The Council will only use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options) where they can be clearly demonstrated to reduce the overall level of the financial risks that the Council is exposed to. Additional risks presented, such as credit exposure to derivative counterparties, will be taken into account when determining the overall level of risk. Embedded derivatives, including those present in pooled funds, will not be subject to this policy, although the risks they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.

Financial derivative transactions may be arranged with any organisation that meets the approved investment criteria. The current value of any amount due from a derivative counterparty will count against the counterparty credit limit and the relevant foreign country limit.

Policy on Apportioning Interest to the HRA: The Council has adopted a two pooled approach and all the costs/income arising from long-term loans (e.g., premiums and discounts on early redemption) will be either charged from or credited to the respective revenue account. Differences between the value of the HRA loans pool and the HRA's underlying need to borrow (adjusted for HRA balance sheet resources available for investment) will result in a notional cash balance which may be positive or negative. This balance will be measured and interest transferred between the General Fund and HRA at the Council's average interest rate on investments, adjusted for credit risk.

Investment Training: The needs of the Council's treasury management staff for training in investment management are assessed regularly as part of the staff appraisal process, and additionally when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change.

Staff regularly attend training courses, seminars and conferences provided by Arlingclose Ltd and CIPFA. Relevant staff are also encouraged to study professional qualifications from CIPFA, the Association of Corporate Treasurers and other appropriate organisations.

Investment Advisers: Arlingclose Ltd is the appointed treasury management advisers providing specific advice on investment, debt and capital finance issues.

Investment of Money Borrowed in Advance of Need: The Council may, from time to time, borrow in advance of need, where this is expected to provide the best long term value for money. Since amounts borrowed will be invested until spent, the Council is aware that it will be exposed to the risk of loss of the borrowed sums, and the risk that investment and borrowing interest rates may change in the intervening period. These risks will be managed as part of the Council’s overall management of its treasury risks.

The total amount borrowed will not exceed the authorised borrowing limit for 2016/17 of £567.1m. The maximum period between borrowing and expenditure is expected to be two years, although the Council is not required to link particular loans with particular items of expenditure.

Other Options Considered

The CLG Guidance and the CIPFA Code do not prescribe any particular treasury management strategy for local authorities to adopt. The Chief Finance Officer, having consulted the Executive Member for Corporate Resources, believes that the above strategy represents an appropriate balance between risk management and cost effectiveness. Some alternative strategies, with their financial and risk management implications, are listed below.

Alternative	Impact on income and expenditure	Impact on risk management
Invest in a narrower range of counterparties and/or for shorter times	Interest income will be lower	Lower risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses will be greater
Invest in a wider range of counterparties and/or for longer times	Interest income will be higher	Increased risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses will be smaller
Borrow additional sums at long-term fixed interest rates	Debt interest costs will rise; this is unlikely to be offset by higher investment income	Higher investment balance leading to a higher impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs will be more certain

<p>Borrow short-term or variable loans instead of long-term fixed rates</p>	<p>Debt interest costs will initially be lower</p>	<p>Increases in debt interest costs will be broadly offset by rising investment income in the medium term, but long term costs will be less certain</p>
<p>Reduce level of borrowing</p>	<p>Saving on debt interest is likely to exceed lost investment income. Unable to deliver the full Capital Programme.</p>	<p>Reduced investment balance leading to a lower impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs will be less certain</p>

Schedule 1 – Arlingclose Economic & Interest Rate Forecast November 2015

Underlying assumptions:

- UK economic growth softened in Q3 2015 but remained reasonably robust; the first estimate for the quarter was 0.5% and year-on-year growth fell slightly to 2.3%. Negative construction output growth offset fairly strong services output, however survey estimates suggest upwards revisions to construction may be in the pipeline.
- Household spending has been the main driver of GDP growth through 2014 and 2015 and remains key to growth. Consumption will continue to be supported by real wage and disposable income growth.
- Annual average earnings growth was 3.0% (including bonuses) in the three months to August. Given low inflation, real earnings and income growth continue to run at relatively strong levels and could feed directly into unit labour costs and households' disposable income. Improving productivity growth should support pay growth in the medium term. The development of wage growth is one of the factors being closely monitored by the MPC.
- Business investment indicators continue to signal strong growth. However the outlook for business investment may be tempered by the looming EU referendum and increasing uncertainties surrounding global growth.
- Inflation is currently very low and, with a further fall in commodity prices, will likely remain so over the next 12 months. The CPI rate is likely to rise towards the end of 2016.
- China's growth has slowed and its economy is performing below expectations, which in turn will dampen activity in countries with which it has close economic ties; its slowdown and emerging market weakness will reduce demand for commodities. Other possible currency interventions following China's recent devaluation will keep sterling strong against many global currencies and depress imported inflation.
- Strong US labour market data and other economic indicators suggest recent global turbulence has not knocked the American recovery off course. Although the timing of the first rise in official interest rates remains uncertain, a rate rise by the Federal Reserve seems significantly more likely in December given recent data and rhetoric by committee members.
- Longer term rates will be tempered by international uncertainties and weaker global inflation pressure.

Forecast:

- Arlingclose forecasts the first rise in UK Base Rate in Q3 2016. Further weakness in inflation, and the MPC's expectations for its path, suggest policy tightening will be pushed back into the second half of the year. Risks remain weighted to the downside. Arlingclose projects a slow rise in Base Rate, the appropriate level of which will be lower than the previous normalised level and will be between 2% and 3%.
- The projection is for a shallow upward path for medium term gilt yields, with continuing concerns about the Eurozone, emerging markets and other geopolitical events, weighing on risk appetite, while inflation expectations remain subdued.
- The uncertainties surrounding the timing of UK and US monetary policy tightening, and global growth weakness, are likely to prompt short term volatility in gilt yields.

	Dec-15	Mar-16	Jun-16	Sep-16	Dec-16	Mar-17	Jun-17	Sep-17	Dec-17	Mar-18	Jun-18	Sep-18	Dec-18
Official Bank Rate													
Upside risk		0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Arlingclose Central Case	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.50	1.50	1.75	1.75
Downside risk				-0.25	-0.25	-0.50	-0.50	-0.75	-0.75	-1.00	-1.00	-1.25	-1.25
3-month LIBID rate													
Upside risk	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Arlingclose Central Case	0.55	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.95	1.05	1.15	1.30	1.40	1.55	1.65	1.80	1.85
Downside risk		-0.20	-0.30	-0.45	-0.55	-0.65	-0.80	-0.90	-1.05	-1.10	-1.20	-1.20	-1.20
1-yr LIBID rate													
Upside risk	0.25	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
Arlingclose Central Case	1.10	1.20	1.35	1.45	1.55	1.70	1.80	1.95	2.00	2.10	2.15	2.15	2.15
Downside risk	-0.15	-0.25	-0.35	-0.50	-0.60	-0.70	-0.85	-0.95	-1.10	-1.15	-1.25	-1.25	-1.25
5-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	1.50	1.55	1.60	1.70	1.80	1.90	2.00	2.10	2.20	2.25	2.30	2.35	2.35
Downside risk	-0.35	-0.45	-0.55	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.25	-1.25
10-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.00	2.05	2.10	2.20	2.30	2.40	2.50	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.80
Downside risk	-0.35	-0.45	-0.55	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.25	-1.25
20-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.45	2.50	2.55	2.55	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.85	2.90	2.95	2.95
Downside risk	-0.30	-0.40	-0.50	-0.55	-0.65	-0.75	-0.85	-0.95	-1.05	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.20
50-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.45	2.50	2.55	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.85	2.90	2.95	3.00	3.00
Downside risk	-0.25	-0.35	-0.45	-0.50	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.05	-1.10	-1.15	-1.15

Schedule 2 – Existing Investment & Debt Portfolio Position

	30/11/15 Actual Portfolio £m
External Borrowing:	
PWLB – Fixed Rate	217.1
PWLB – Variable Rate	57.9
LOBO Loans	13.5
Local Government Loans	9.0
Total External Borrowing	297.5
Other Long Term Liabilities:	
PFI	16.3
Total Gross External Debt	313.8
Investments:	
<i>Managed in-house</i>	
Short-term investments	9.7
Pooled Funds (<i>Lime Fund</i>)	5.1
Total Investments	14.8
Net Debt	299.0

Appendix C – Prudential Indicators and MRP Statement 2016/17

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (2011 Edition)* (the Prudential Code) when determining how much money it can afford to borrow. The objectives of the Prudential Code are to ensure, within a clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that treasury management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice. To demonstrate that the Council has fulfilled these objectives, the Prudential Code sets out the following indicators that must be set and monitored each year.

Estimates of Capital Expenditure

The Council's planned capital expenditure and financing can be summarised as follows.

Capital Expenditure and Financing	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
General Fund	104.9	105.4	92.2	68.8
HRA	20.6	11.3	19.3	16.3
Total Expenditure	125.5	116.7	111.5	85.1
Capital Receipts	(3.0)	(10.5)	(9.5)	(7.5)
Grants and Contributions	(49.5)	(55.4)	(58.5)	(34.4)
Revenue	(1.4)	-	-	-
Borrowing	(51.0)	(39.5)	(24.2)	(26.9)
General Fund sub-total	(104.9)	(105.4)	(92.2)	(68.8)
Capital Receipts	(3.6)	(4.0)	(4.0)	(4.2)
Grants and Contributions	-	-	(1.4)	-
Reserves	(11.7)	(2.2)	(11.5)	(10.1)
Revenue	(5.3)	(5.1)	(2.4)	(2.0)
HRA sub-total	(20.6)	(11.3)	(19.3)	(16.3)
Total Financing	(125.5)	(116.7)	(111.5)	(85.1)

Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement

The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) measures the Council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose.

Capital Financing Requirement	31/03/16 Estimate £m	31/03/17 Estimate £m	31/03/18 Estimate £m	31/03/19 Estimate £m
General Fund	324.8	355.9	370.1	385.9
HRA	165.0	165.0	163.5	162.0
Total CFR	489.8	520.9	533.6	547.9

The CFR is forecast to rise by £58.1m over the next three years as capital expenditure financed by debt outweighs resources put aside for debt repayment.

Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

This is a key indicator of prudence. In order to ensure that over the medium term debt will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for the current and next two financial years.

Debt	31/03/16 Estimate £m	31/03/17 Estimate £m	31/03/18 Estimate £m	31/03/19 Estimate £m
Borrowing	363.7	403.1	427.3	454.2
PFI liabilities	15.7	15.3	14.6	13.6
Total Debt	379.4	418.4	441.9	467.8

Total debt is expected to remain below the CFR during the forecast period.

Operational Boundary for External Debt

The Operational Boundary is based on a prudent estimate for external debt. It links directly to the Council's estimates of capital expenditure, the CFR and cash flow requirements, and is a management tool for in-year monitoring. Other long-term liabilities comprise finance leases, Private Finance Initiative (PFI) and other liabilities that are not borrowing but form part of the Council's debt.

Operational Boundary	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Borrowing	502.2	530.7	544.5	560.2
Other long-term liabilities	18.7	15.8	15.1	14.1
Total Debt	520.9	546.5	559.6	574.3

Authorised Limit for External Debt

The Authorised Limit is the affordable borrowing limit determined in compliance with the Local Government Act 2003. It is the maximum amount of debt that the Council can legally owe at any given point during each financial year. The Authorised Limit provides headroom over and above the Operational Boundary for unusual cash movements. More specifically, the Authorised Limit for External Debt is a total of £10.5m higher in each financial year from 2016/17 when compared to the Operational Boundary figure, being £10.0m higher on the 'Borrowing' line and £0.5m higher on the 'Other long-term liabilities' line.

Authorised Limit	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Borrowing	512.2	540.7	554.5	570.2
Other long-term liabilities	21.2	16.3	15.6	14.6
Total Debt	533.4	557.0	570.1	584.8

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet financing costs, net of investment income.

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream	2015/16 Estimate %	2016/17 Estimate %	2017/18 Estimate %	2018/19 Estimate %
General Fund	7.5	7.5	7.5	9.0
HRA	13.8	13.8	13.8	14.5

It measures the proportion of the net revenue budget that is required to meet the ongoing financing costs of past capital expenditure which was funded from borrowing. Future year estimates incorporate the additional financing costs of planned capital expenditure to be funded from borrowing. It is important that the total capital investment of the Council remains within sustainable limits. However, the level of capital investment that can be supported will be a matter for local decision.

The prudential indicators are designed to support and record local decision making in a manner that is publicly accountable. They are not designed to be comparable performance indicators. Nonetheless, it is interesting to note that Central Bedfordshire's Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream (General Fund) is currently broadly consistent with its nearest local authority neighbours:

	2015/16
Milton Keynes	11.2%
Cambridgeshire	10.2%
Luton	9.9%
Northamptonshire	9.2%
Central Bedfordshire	7.5%
Bedford Borough	5.6%
Buckinghamshire	5.5%
Hertfordshire	1.3%

Central Bedfordshire's ratio is expected to increase relative to its peers given the Council's significant commitment to capital investment over the next few years.

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions

This is an indicator of affordability that shows the incremental impact of new capital investment decisions on Council Tax and housing rent levels. The incremental impact is the difference between the total revenue budget requirement of the current approved Capital Programme and the revenue budget requirement arising from the Capital Programme proposed.

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions	2016/17 Estimate £	2017/18 Estimate £	2018/19 Estimate £
General Fund - increase in annual band D Council Tax	14.91	30.87	50.12
HRA - increase in average weekly rents	0.11	0.17	0.15

Adoption of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code

The Council adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice (2011 Edition)* at its Council meeting on 29th November 2012.

Housing Revenue Account (HRA) Debt

The purpose of this limit is to report the level of debt imposed on the Council at the time of the implementation of self-financing by the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG).

	2015/16 Estimate £	2016/17 Estimate £	2017/18 Estimate £	2018/19 Estimate £
HRA Debt Cap (as prescribed by the DCLG)	165.0	165.0	165.0	165.0
HRA CFR	165.0	165.0	163.5	162.0
Difference	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0

Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement 2016/17

Where the Council finances capital expenditure by debt, it must put aside resources to repay that debt in later years. The amount charged to the revenue budget for the repayment of debt is known as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), although there has been no statutory minimum since 2008. The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Department for Communities and Local Government's *Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision* (the CLG Guidance) most recently issued in 2012.

The broad aim of the CLG Guidance is to ensure that debt is repaid over a period that is either reasonably commensurate with that over which the capital expenditure provides benefits, or, in the case of borrowing supported by Government Revenue Support Grant, reasonably commensurate with the period implicit in the determination of that grant.

The CLG Guidance requires the Council to approve an Annual MRP Statement each year, and recommends four options for calculating a prudent amount of MRP. The following statement incorporates options recommended in the Guidance.

The method used by the Council for the Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) period is to spread MRP over 10 years, 30 years or 50 years depending on the approximate useful economic life of the asset upon which expenditure is being incurred. MRP is spread over the useful economic life on an annuity basis. The annuity method enables MRP financing of the Draft Capital Programme to be minimised over the medium term, with higher MRP costs in future years beyond the current MTFP period.

At a more detailed level, for capital expenditure incurred before 1st April 2008 MRP will be based on 4% of the CFR in accordance with the former regulations that applied on 31st March 2008 incorporating an 'Adjustment A' as defined in the former regulations. For capital expenditure incurred after 31st March 2008, MRP will be determined by charging the expenditure on an annuity basis and spreading the provision across the approximate useful economic life of the asset, starting in the year after the asset becomes operational. For example, capital expenditure incurred during 2016/17 will not be subject to an MRP charge until 2017/18.

For assets acquired by finance leases or the Private Finance Initiative, the MRP will be determined as being equal to the element of the rent or charge that goes to write down the balance sheet liability. No MRP will be charged in respect of assets held within the Housing Revenue Account.

The calculation is reviewed annually by the Council's external auditors as part of the audit of the Statement of Accounts.